

**GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

“Kamat Towers” 7<sup>th</sup> Floor, Patto Plaza, Panaji, Goa – 403 001

Tel: 0832 2437880 E-mail: [spio-gsic.goa@nic.in](mailto:spio-gsic.goa@nic.in) Website: [www.scic.goa.gov.in](http://www.scic.goa.gov.in)

---

**Shri. Sanjay N. Dhavalikar**, State Information Commissioner

**Complaint No. 20/2023/SIC**

Mrs. Celine Conceicao Fernandes E Pereira,  
R/o H. No. 2/57/2.  
Naikawaddo, Calangute,  
Bardez, North Goa.

-----Complainant

**v/s**

1. Public Information Officer,  
The Secretary,  
Village Panchayat of Calangute,  
Calangute, Bardez-Goa 403516.

2. First Appellate Authority,  
Block Development Officer,  
Office at Mamlatdar Building,  
Mapusa, Bardez-Goa.

-----Opponents

**Relevant dates emerging from appeal:**

RTI application filed on	: 03/03/2023
PIO replied on	: 05/04/2023
First appeal filed on	: Not available
First Appellate Authority order passed on	: 23/05/2023
Complaint received on	: 26/06/2023
Decided on	: 04/01/2024

**ORDER**

1. The Complainant under Section 18 (1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as the 'Act'), has filed the present complaint against Opponent No. 1, Public Information Officer (PIO) and Opponent No. 2, First Appellate Authority (FAA), which came before the Commission on 26/06/2023.
2. Notice was issued to the concerned parties, pursuant to which Advocate Rohit Pednekar appeared on behalf of the Complainant and argued on 23/11/2023. Advocate S. Verlekar appeared on behalf of the PIO and filed reply dated 03/10/2023 and 04/01/2024. Arguments of Advocate S. Verlekar were heard on 23/11/2023.
3. Upon hearing arguments of both sides, the Commission directed PIO to provide inspection of relevant documents to the complainant. It is seen from records that, the PIO complied with the said direction and provided the inspection. Advocate Verlekar submitted on 04/01/2024

that, the direction of the Commission was complied and the complainant is satisfied with the same.

4. During the hearing on 04/01/2024, Advocate Rohit Pednekar, on behalf of the Complainant endorsed the submission of Advocate Verlekar. Advocate Pednekar with his signature on the complaint memo stated that, "In view of inspection granted by Respondent Panchayat, the complainant does not wish to press for other prayers, thereof, the present proceeding may be disposed".
5. With respect to the facts as mentioned above, the Commission observes that, the complainant upon inspection has requested for disposal of present proceeding. Thus, there is no any need of further intervention in the present matter.
6. Hence, the instant complaint is disposed accordingly and the proceeding stands closed.

Pronounced in the open court.

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any, may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition, as no further appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act, 2005.

Sd/-

**Sanjay N. Dhavalikar**

State Information Commissioner  
Goa State Information Commission,  
Panaji-Goa.